



| | | |
|---|--|---|
|  | <p>SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY (Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423101 NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU</p> |  |
|---|--|---|

Feedback Analysis

Dr. Nayana S. Baste

Associate Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Industrial Pharmacy II course for the academic year 2023-24 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

| Parameter(s) | No. of Responses (Total 35) | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|------|------|
| | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair |
| 1 How would you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives? | 28 | 06 | 0 | 01 |
| 2 How would you rate the course on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus? | 24 | 09 | 0 | 02 |
| 3 How would you rate the course on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality? | 28 | 06 | 0 | 01 |
| 4 How would you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality? | 27 | 07 | 0 | 01 |
| 5 How would you overall rate the course | 22 | 11 | 01 | 01 |
| Total responses | 129 | 39 | 01 | 06 |
| % Responses | 74 | 22 | 0.5 | 3.5 |

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely



Dr. C. D. Upasani
Principal




SNJB'S
SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARAMCY
(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423101
NBA Accredited UG Program
Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



1. How would you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?

Copy chart


35 responses



2. How would you rate the course on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?

Copy chart


35 responses



3. How would you rate the course on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?

Copy chart

35 responses






SNJB'S
SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423101
NBA Accredited UG Program
Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



4. How would you rate the course the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?

 Copy
chart


35 responses



5. How would you overall rate the course

 Copy chart

35 responses



23-24 Industrial Pharmacy II Feed Back Form

Program: B. Pharmacy

Academic Year:2023-24

Year /Semester: Final Year B.Pharmacy (Semester VII)

Course Title: Industrial Pharmacy II

Course Code: BP702T

Roll No of student *

50

Name of Student (Surname First) *

Sonawane Ajay Shantilal

1. How would you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives? *

| | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Average | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | Excellent |

2. How would you rate the course on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus? *

Average 1 2 3 4 Excellent

3. How would you rate the course on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality? *

Average 1 2 3 4 Excellent

4. How would you rate the course the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality? *

Average 1 2 3 4 Excellent

5. How would you overall rate the course *

Average 1 2 3 4 5 Excellent

Would you like to suggest anything?

Google Forms

23-24 Industrial Pharmacy II Feed Back Form

Program: B. Pharmacy

Academic Year:2023-24

Year /Semester: Final Year B.Pharmacy (Semester VII)

Course Title: Industrial Pharmacy II

Course Code: BP702T

Roll No of student *

61

Name of Student (Surname First) *

Suryawanshi Vaidavi

1. How would you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives? *

| | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Average | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Excellent |

2. How would you rate the course on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus? *

| | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Average | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Excellent |

3. How would you rate the course on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality? *

| | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Average | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Excellent |

4. How would you rate the course the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality? *

| | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Average | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | Excellent |



5. How would you overall rate the course? *

| | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Average | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Excellent |

Would you like to suggest anything?

Nothing

Google Forms

| | | |
|--|--|---|
|  <p>ESTD - 1928</p> | <p>SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARAMCY (Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423101 NBA Accredited UG Program Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU</p> |  |
|--|--|---|

Date: 30/12/2023

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Nayana S. Baste

Academic Year: 2023-24

Course and Course Code: Industrial Pharmacy II (BP702T)

Semester: VII

Feedback given by students: 35

Percent Feedback: 52

| Question | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair |
|----------|-----------|-----------|------|------|
| 1 | 28 | 06 | 0 | 01 |
| 2 | 24 | 09 | 0 | 02 |
| 3 | 28 | 06 | 0 | 01 |
| 4 | 27 | 07 | 0 | 01 |
| 5 | 22 | 11 | 01 | 01 |

Questions:

1. How would you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
2. How would you rate the course on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
3. How would you rate the course on the basis of presenting (Teaching Tools) and communicating quality?
4. How would you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
5. How would you overall rate the course

Remarks:


Since a majority of students rated as *Excellent* or *Very Good*, I will implement the practices that led to these positive results, such as clear communication, engaging materials, and effective interactive tools.


Subject Incharge


HOD


IQAC Co-ordinator


Principal





SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,
Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 28/06/2024

Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Academic Year: 2023-'24

Semester: VI

Course and Course Code: Herbal Drug Technology (BP603T & BP 609P)

Feedback given by students: 50

Percent Feedback: 74.62%

| THE DESIGN OF COURSE | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Question | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Excellent | 29 | 24 | 30 | 25 | 30 | 26 |
| Very good | 12 | 19 | 12 | 17 | 11 | 18 |
| Good | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| Fair | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| THE CONDUCT OF COURSE | | | | | | |
| Question | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Excellent | 28 | 29 | 22 | 27 | 30 | |
| Very good | 15 | 11 | 18 | 15 | 12 | |
| Good | 5 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 7 | |
| Fair | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |

Questions:

A. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

1. Were the objectives of the course clear to you
2. The course contents met with your expectations
3. The lecture sequence was well planned
4. The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies
5. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices
6. Will you recommend this course in your program

B. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

1. The lecturers were clear and easy to understand?



**SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,
Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.**

2. The teaching aids were effectively used?
3. The course material handed out was adequate?
4. Were the objectives of the course met?
5. Please give overall rating of the course.

Remarks:

1. Practice test will be planned after completing the topic for clear understanding.
2. Lectures will be conducted on powerpoint presentation


25/6/24
Sign of Staff


Sign of HOD


Sign of Principal

Feedback Analysis Herbal Drug Technology (BP603T & BP609P) Academic Year: 2023-'24

Dr. (Mrs.) Charulata T. Nemade

Associate Professor,

Head of Pharmacognosy Department

Dear Madam,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Herbal Drug Technology course for the academic year 2023-24 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

| Sr. No. | Parameter(s) | No. of Responses (Total 50) | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|
| | | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair |
| 1. | Were the objectives of the course clear to you | 29 | 12 | 7 | 2 |
| 2. | The course contents met with your expectations | 24 | 19 | 6 | 1 |
| 3. | The lecture sequence was well planned | 30 | 12 | 6 | 2 |
| 4. | The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies | 25 | 17 | 7 | 1 |
| 5. | The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices | 30 | 11 | 8 | 1 |
| 6. | Will you recommend this course in your program | 26 | 18 | 5 | 1 |
| | Total responses | 164 | 89 | 39 | 08 |
| | % Responses | 54.66% | 29.66% | 13% | 2.67% |

2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

| Sr. No. | Parameter(s) | No. of Responses (Total 50) | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|-----------|------|------|
| | | Excellent | Very good | Good | Fair |
| 1. | The lecturers were clear and easy to understand | 28 | 15 | 5 | 2 |
| 2. | The teaching aids were effectively used | 29 | 11 | 9 | 1 |
| 3. | The course material handed out was adequate | 22 | 18 | 7 | 3 |
| 4. | Were the objectives of the course met | 27 | 15 | 6 | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Please give overall rating of the course | 30 | 12 | 7 | 1 |
| | Total responses | 136 | 71 | 34 | 09 |
| | % Responses | 54.4% | 28.4% | 13.6% | 3.6% |

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. There are few suggestive comments like conduct the practice test after completing each topic for clear understanding, and conduct the online lectures. All students are satisfied with your teaching. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

2. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

1. Were the objectives of the course clear to you?

 Copy


50 responses



2. Is the course contents met with your expectations ?

 Copy


50 responses




3. The lecture sequence was well planned.

 Copy


50 responses




4. The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies

 Copy


50 responses




5. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices

 Copy


50 responses



6. Will you recommend this course in your program

 Copy

50 responses




3. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE


1. The lectures were clear and easy to understand

 Copy


50 responses



2. The teaching aids were effectively used

 Copy


50 responses



3. The course material handed out was adequate

 Copy


50 responses



4. Were the objectives of the course met

Copy


50 responses



5. Please give overall rating of the course

Copy

50 responses




4. Any Suggestions to improve the course

Copy

Any Suggestions to improve the course

50 responses



No all lectures are easy to understand

50 responses

Link to Sheets

Accepting responses

Summary

Question

Individual

1 of 50



Responses cannot be edited

2023-24 Course Feedback form HDT(BP603T)

Third Year B Pharmacy VIth Sem

* Indicates required question

Roll No. *

34

Name of Student *

Vipul kumat

2. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

1. Were the objectives of the course clear to you? *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

2. Is the course contents met with your expectations? *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

3. The lecture sequence was well planned. *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

4. The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

5. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

6. Will you recommend this course in your program *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

3. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

1. The lectures were clear and easy to understand *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

2. The teaching aids were effectively used *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

3. The course material handed out was adequate *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

4. Were the objectives of the course met *

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

5. Please give overall rating of the course *



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

4. Any Suggestions to improve the course

Any Suggestions to improve the course *

None _____

Submitted 4/27/24, 12:38 PM

| | | |
|--|---|---|
|  ESTD - 1928 | SNJBs SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY <i>(Jain Gurukul)</i> , Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101. NBA Accredited UG Program Best Professional College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU |  |
|--|---|---|

Students Feedback Form


(M. Pharmacy Program)


Class: FY M. Pharm (Regulatory Affairs)

Academic Year: 2023-24


Semester: I

| Particulars ↓ / Response → | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|------|------|
| The design of the course | 100 | | | |
| The conduct of the course | 100 | | | |




 Subject I/C


 HOD


 IQAC Coordinator



 Principal



PRINCIPAL
 SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
 COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
 CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



SNJBs
SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.
NBA Accredited UG Program
Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Course Feedback Form (M.Pharmacy Program)

Course Title: *Good regulatory practice* Course Code: *MFA 101 T*
Year /Semester: *3rd* A.Y. 2023-24

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

| Sr. No | Question | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Were the objectives of the course clear to you | ✓ | | | |
| 2 | The course contents met with your expectations | ✓ | | | |
| 3 | The lecture sequence was well planned | ✓ | | | |
| 4 | The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies | ✓ | | | |
| 5 | The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices | ✓ | | | |

2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

| Sr. No | Question | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The lecturers were clear and easy to understand | ✓ | | | |
| 2 | The teaching aids were effectively used | ✓ | | | |
| 3 | The course material handed out was adequate | ✓ | | | |
| 4 | Were the objectives of the course met | ✓ | | | |
| 5 | Please give overall rating of the course | ✓ | | | |

Roll No.


C-08

Name:

Yash kulkarni



SNJBs
SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
(Jain Gurukul), Neminagar, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.
NBA Accredited UG Program
Best College (Rural Area) Awarded by SPPU



Course Feedback Form (M.Pharmacy Program)

Course Title: *Good regulatory practices* Course Code: *MFA 201 T*

Year /Semester: *5th* A.Y. 2023-24

1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

| Sr. No | Question | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Were the objectives of the course clear to you | ✓ | | | |
| 2 | The course contents met with your expectations | ✓ | | | |
| 3 | The lecture sequence was well planned | ✓ | | | |
| 4 | The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies | ✓ | | | |
| 5 | The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices | ✓ | | | |

2. THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

| Sr. No | Question | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The lecturers were clear and easy to understand | ✓ | | | |
| 2 | The teaching aids were effectively used | ✓ | | | |
| 3 | The course material handed out was adequate | ✓ | | | |
| 4 | Were the objectives of the course met | ✓ | | | |
| 5 | Please give overall rating of the course | ✓ | | | |

Roll No.

07

Name:

Aparajita Mahajan.